## Approved For Release 2002/11/27 16/A-RDP72-00310R000100200002-3 DD/S 70-2891

14 JUL 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Support

SUBJECT

Travel Benefits for Civil Service Retirement

System Participants

Bob:

I have just realized going through my papers that Colonel White has scheduled the travel proposal for discussion tomorrow at the Deputies meeting. We haven't had an opportunity to discuss this with you but I do want to get on record with you as being opposed to the proposal. In passing, I would note that this is against my own self-interest as I might well benefit from such an authorization when I retire. Briefly, and only in part, my arguments are as follows:

In Larry Houston's memorandum the penultimate sentence in paragraph 3, "This is emphasized by the Agency policy that all employees are equally subject to assignment anywhere as determined by the Agency," may be a statement of policy but is not a statement of fact and I could not accept it as justification for adoption of the benefits. Later on (paragraph 5) he quotes from the Executive Director-Comptroller's memorandum of 10 October 1967 "...for other government employees in similar circumstances" (emphasis added). I think you must agree that for many of our people the similar circumstances are those of somebody in the Department of Commerce rather than somebody in the Foreign Service. Lest I confuse the issue, please note that I distinguish between the death benefits and the retirement travel benefits. The argument inherent in paragraph 3 of Houston's memorandum I can accept. Most of our domestic field assignments are on a tour basis and, consequently, I have no difficulty in providing a death travel benefit for employees domestically assigned outside of Washington. I do have, however, serious reservations about the retirement travel benefits across the board for our Civil Service participants. I think it is very dangerous and would argue that if we can adopt this proposal by administrative action, we can in effect legislate practically any benefit for our people--the only limitation being whatever BOB is willing to let us program for.

**OGC Has Reviewed** 

RODert S. Wattles

1 - OGC on 14 Jul 70

stolk!

25X1A

STAT

## Approved For Release 2002/11/22 : CIA-RDP72-00310R000100200002-3

ų			ar.		
TRANSMIT	TAL SLIF	DATE (	770		
TO: (P	H	,			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING				
REMARKS:					_
9 21	hould	Thin	h		
14	- 9 <del>-</del> 0	raue	Tho		
ought	10 0	July	_0	14 T	. 2
pros 9	y con	s of	the	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
aclops	ión	rath	in The	ran	* ,
	1	71	your		• ;
quarr	er m	con (	1		
Degal	ohin				
The state of the s	0	2		A 475 W	
$\mathcal{U}$	A	U .			
FROM:	$\overline{}$	i.			
, 84.	_			1177	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENS	ION	
FORM NO .241	REPLACES FORM WHICH MAY BE I			(47)	

STAT